

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/954,540	09/17/2001	Frank Joseph Engo		6802	
75	90 07/28/2004		EXAM	INER	
FRANK J. ENGO			RAMPURIA	RAMPURIA, SATISH	
934 LINDEN AVENUE BRICKTOWN, NJ 08723			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2124		
		DATE MAILED: 07/28/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Antique Commence	09/954,540	ENGO, FRANK JOSEPH			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Satish S. Rampuria	2124			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl of NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 S	eptember 2001.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 					
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 17 September 2001 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a) \square accepted or b) \square object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Application/Control Number: 09/954,540 Page 2

Art Unit: 2124

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the application filed on 09/17/2001.

2. Claim 1 is pending.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 5 "shard" should be "shared".

Appropriate correction is required

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the flowchart of the process must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted

Application/Control Number: 09/954,540 Page 3

Art Unit: 2124

by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim objections

5. Claim objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding the claim delete "What is claimed is that" and add "a", delete "programs" and add "program".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101 Utility

6. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The claim is non-statutory because it recites writing of a program without representing functional descriptive steps without a medium i.e., apparatus, method, or product. Claim 1 is not tangible, fail to show the medium.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, second paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 09/954,540

Art Unit: 2124

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

The claim is rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35
 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

The claim is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are:

Regarding the claim it is unclear as to how the program will run online and offline without maintaining the separate versions of the source code. The claim appears to be missing method and steps of the process. Also, the portion of the claim listed appears to be merely the applicant's desired result.

Regarding the claim it is unclear how the claim is related to the drawings/figures. Lack of relationship to drawings.

Regarding the claim it is unclear how the claim is related to the drawings/figures for the method or steps. Lack of flow chart for the process of the invention.

Clarification and/or correction are required.

Application/Control Number: 09/954,540 Page 5

Art Unit: 2124

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

12. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 6,112,024 to Almond et al., hereinafter called Almond in view of Supporting online Web-based teamwork in offline mobile mode too, published by Yang in June 2000, hereinafter called Yang.

Per claim 1:

Applicant claiming that source code needs to be maintained to run the program online and/or offline. However, source code is not required for program to run/execute online and/or offline, only the executable code is required. In addition, the prior art rejection is as follows.

Almond disclose:

- What is claimed is that programs written in cross-barrier will run online (connected via the internet) (col. 5, lines 26-27 "present invention... in a multi-user computer system, such as a client/server system") or offline (col. 5, lines 24-25 "present invention... operate... a single (standalone) computer (e.g., system 100 of fig. 1A)") without having to maintain separate versions of the source code (col. 2, lines 62-65 "a multi-tool environment, each concerned with the development of its own particular type of object... to share a version control repository").

Almond does not explicitly disclose programs written in cross-barrier.

Application/Control Number: 09/954,540

Art Unit: 2124

However, Yang discloses in an analogous computer system programs written in cross-barrier (publication, page 487, paragraph 1 "our prototype support both online and offline mobile modes for teamwork"). It is obvious that the program is written for online/offline support modes.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the method of supporting an online/offline supporting program as taught by Yang into the method of maintaining different versions of programs as taught by Almond. The modification would be obvious because of one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to write a online/offline support program to provide the flexibility to run the applications on a teamwork environment as suggested by Yang (publication, page 486, section Introduction).

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patent is cited to further show the state of the art with respect to applications runs on online offline.

US Patent No. 6,357,038 to Scouten

US Patent No. 6,438,705 to Chao et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Satish S. Rampuria whose telephone number is 703-305-8891. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/954,540

Art Unit: 2124

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki can be reached on (703) 305-9662. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Satish S. Rampuria

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2124

07/26/2004

JOHN CHAVIS

PATENT EXAMINER

ART UNIT 2124